


## Remarks

This is in response to the Office Action dated May 9, 2005. The Office Action rejected claims 19 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,122,759 (Ayanoglu et al.) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,940,415 (Le et al.) and U.S. Patent No. 6,128,287 (Freeburg et al.). Claims 14-18, 21-27 and 31-32<sup>1</sup> were allowed.

In response, Applicant cancels claims 19 and 20 herein. Claims 1-13 and 28-30 have been previously cancelled. Claims 14-18, 21-27 and 31-33 remain.

The rejected claims have been cancelled. All remaining claims have been allowed. As such, this application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



Jeffrey M. Weinick  
Reg. No. 36,304  
Attorney for Applicant  
Tel.: 973-533-1616

Date: August 3, 2005  
**AT&T Corp**  
**Room 2A-207**  
**One AT&T Way**  
**Bedminster, NJ 07921**

---

<sup>1</sup> Applicant assumes that claim 33 was also allowed, since claim 33 depends from allowed claim 32, which in turn depends from allowed claim 31. Applicant assumes that the absence of claim 33 from the identified allowed claims was a typographical error.